



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	О.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/616,836	6 07/09/2003		Hisham M. Qureshi	Н0003738	2676	
128	7590	09/27/2004		EXAMINER		
HONEY	WELL IN	TERNATIONAL	ZANELLI, MICHAEL J			
101 COL	UMBIA RO 2245	DAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MORRIS	TOWN, N	J 07962-2245		3661		
				DATE MAILED: 09/27/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				·			
4		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/616,83	36	QURESHI, HISHAM M.			
	Office Action Summary	Examine	•	Art Unit			
		Michael J		3661			
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communicator Reply	tion appears on the	e cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) decorporate for reply specified above, the maximum statuto ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no evalution. ays, a reply within the statery period will apply and with statery states.	ent, however, may a reply be ti utory minimum of thirty (30) da ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron lication to become ABANDONI	imely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communicatio ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.		
Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <i>09 July 2003</i> .					
		☐ This action is n	on-final.				
3)	· <u> </u>						
Disnosit	ion of Claims	ander Ex parte Qu	ayle, 1500 O.D. 11, 4	33 0.0. 213.			
		lta a 4 ta					
4)[Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the appl		noidorotion		• •		
51	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v Claim(s) is/are allowed.	villidrawn irom co	nsideration.				
		atad					
	Claim(s) <u>1-3,6-24,27 and 28</u> is/are rejected to Claim(s) <u>4,5,25 and 26</u> is/are objected to						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction		aquirement				
		rand/or election is	equirement.				
	ion Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the E		_				
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 09 July 2003 is/a						
	Applicant may not request that any objection		•	• •			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the				d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. No	ote the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of:			ı)-(d) or (f).	••		
	1. Certified copies of the priority doc						
	2. Certified copies of the priority doc						
	 Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International 	•		ed in this National Stage			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for	•	· · · ·	ed.			
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) 🛛 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	/ (PTO-413)			
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-		Paper No(s)/Mail D	oate			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC er No(s)/Mail Date)/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal 8 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/616,836

Art Unit: 3661

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. The application filed 7/9/03 has been examined. Claims 1-28 are pending.

- 2. Claims 7-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - A. As per claim 7, at line 11 "the current track" lacks antecedence. Note line 8 refers to "a first track".
 - B. As per claims 9 and 10, "the second track" lacks antecedence.
 - C. As per claim 11, the claim is unclear as recited at line 2. The examiner suggests deleting "comprising the processor".
 - D. As per claim 17, "the vertical profile view frame" (both occurrences) lacks antecedence. Note claim 7 refers only to "one profile view frame".
 - E. As per claim 20, "the autopilot" lacks antecedence. Note claim 12 introduces this limitation.
 - F. All claims depending from a rejected base claim are also rejected as containing the same deficiencies.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 6-10, 14-16, 21-24, 27 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Briffe et al. (6,112,141).

Application/Control Number: 10/616,836

Art Unit: 3661

A. As per claims 1, 7 and 23, Briffe discloses a processor-based system and method which displays a vertical situation of a vehicle. As shown in Fig. 16, a profile view frame (546) is generated in which the profile view frame includes a first vertical profile view indicative of the current track of the vehicle (line between vehicle symbol and point labeled LILAN) and a second vertical profile view indicative of a planned track of the vehicle (line extending from the point labeled LILAN). Fig. 2 shows various displays and processing circuitry programmed to perform the above functions.

Page 3

- B. As per claims 2, 3, 8-10, 14-16, 24 and 27, as above wherein the predetermined location is a waypoint in which the current track deviates to a planned track preloaded into the memory of the processor-based system.
- C. As per claims 6, 21, 22 and 28, as noted above wherein the disclosed system is disposed on an aircraft and the display may be generated on a multifunctional flight display (see Abs; Figs. 2 and 16).
- 5. Claims 4, 5, 25 and 26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. Claims 11-13 and 17-20 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited documents are of general interest.

Application/Control Number: 10/616,836

Art Unit: 3661

Page 4

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael J. Zanelli whose telephone number is (703) 305-9756. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 5:30 AM - 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas G. Black can be reached on (703) 305-8233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (tollfree).

/mjz

RIMARY EXAMINER